THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 22

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

CARL G. HAYSSEN, III

Junior Party, 1

V.

DIPAK M. SONI

Senior Party,²

Patent Interference No. 103, 992

Before Urynowicz, Martin and Crawford, <u>Administrative Patent</u> Judges.

Crawford, Administrative Patent Judge.

Application 08/105,207 filed August 10, 1993, now Patent No. 5,400,334 issued March 21, 1995. Assignor to Newbridge Networks Corporation.

Application 08/307,472 filed September 16, 1994. Accorded the benefit of U.S. Serial No. 07/952,612 filed January 22, 1993, now abandoned; PCT Serial No. GB92/00543 filed March 30, 1992; and Great Britain Application 9106675.3 filed March 28, 1991.

JUDGMENT

In consideration of junior party Hayssen's REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF AN ADVERSE JUDGMENT, judgment as to the subject matter of the count, is entered against party Hayssen, who is therefore not entitled to a patent containing claims 1, 2, 5-8 and 10-12. As a result, judgment as to the subject matter of the count is awarded to party Soni, who is entitled to a patent containing claims which correspond to the count, i.e., claims 1, 2, 10-13, 18, 22-27, 29, 30 and 61-72

STANLEY M. URYNOWICZ Administrative Patent	Judae)
	caage))
)
JOHN C. MARTIN) BOARD OF PATENT
Administrative Patent	Judge) APPEALS AND
) INTERFERENCES
)
)
)
MURIEL E. CRAWFORD)
Administrative Patent	aphuL)clm

Interference No. 103,992

Attorney (s) for Hayssen

Marvin Petry LARSON & TAYLOR Transpotomac Plaza 1199 North Fairfax St, Suite 900 Alexandria, VA 22314

Attorney (s) for Soni

Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050